

**U.S. Army Medical Command (MEDCOM)**

**Educational and Developmental Intervention  
Services (EDIS)**



---

**School Year 2006/2007  
Annual Report of Compliance**

Submitted to  
Office of the Secretary Of Defense  
September 2007

Blank Page

# **Executive Summary**



## **Executive Summary**

**Background:** Guidance for implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is contained in DOD Instruction 1342.12, "Provision of Early Intervention and Special Education Services to Eligible DOD Dependents." DOD Instruction 1342.12 requires an annual report on the status of compliance with IDEA. The reporting period for the annual report is 1 July through 30 June 2007, with a census date of 31 March 2007. This document meets the reporting requirement.

In 1981, The DOD assigned responsibility for the delivery of related services to the military medical departments. Public Law 102-119 (Sep 1991) expanded the requirement for DOD to include services for infants and toddlers with developmental delays. In 1992, DOD also assigned this responsibility to the military medical departments.

The Educational and Developmental Intervention Services (EDIS) provides Early Intervention Services (EIS) to infants/toddlers (birth – 36 months) and their Families, and educationally Related Allied Health Services (RS) to students receiving special education in DOD Dependents Schools (DODDS) overseas under IDEA.

**Program Description:** The Army Medical Department is responsible for EDIS programs at 26 program sites across Europe, Asia and the United States. EDIS provides services to infants, toddlers and special education students based on written service plans in the child's natural environment (typically homes) or least restrictive setting within the schools. EDIS staff includes early childhood special educators, psychologists, and allied health providers, e.g., speech language pathologists, occupational therapists, physical therapists, and social workers.

On 31 March 2007, the Army EDIS programs served 929 children on active service plans. During the entire reporting period, Army EDIS served a total of 3,899 different children.

**Status of Compliance:** As of 30 June 2007, 25 of 26 Army EDIS programs fully met all applicable Department of Defense (DOD) compliance standards. These programs provide quality services and employ best practices in the field. Based on a data submission, one EDIS program was out of compliance at the end of the reporting period. A continuing staff vacancy resulted in un-served or under-served school-aged children. Services were in place for the start of the new school year.

Headquarters, US Army Medical Command (MEDCOM) and the Regional Medical Commands (RMCs) provide effective implementing policies, oversight and monitoring, and staff training. Headquarters MEDCOM has administratively fenced the funds for EDIS to ensure the success of the program. The Special Needs Management Information System (SNPMIS) provides data for meaningful process improvement activities.

Blank Page

## **A. Monitoring**

Blank Page

1. Monitoring Approaches and Activities: In July 2002, The MEDCOM issued a policy requiring a three-tiered process for ensuring compliance with DOD standards including self-assessments by local programs, formal compliance monitoring by the Regional Medical Commands (RMCs), and compliance verification by HQ MEDCOM through random on-site visits, data calls, and reports. Each EDIS program must have a formal compliance monitoring every 3 years by a higher headquarters. We issue a MEDCOM Certificate of Full Compliance to each EDIS program that achieves successful compliance monitoring results.

The Army RMCs conducted external monitoring of a total of eight (8) out of twenty-five (25) Army EDIS programs (32%) that they oversee. HQ MEDCOM conducted three monitoring visits, one was in tandem with a Region and two were separate from the Regional monitoring. The RMCs and HQ MEDCOM also conducted on-going, passive monitoring through continued review and analysis of SNPMS data.

Seven out of eight monitored programs fully met all the DOD standards. One program had findings that required corrective actions which were immediately accomplished and verified by the Regional Headquarters. There is no evidence of systemic non-compliance issues.

A data review identified a compliance concern at an OCONUS EDIS program in Korea that does not have RMC oversight. The program did not fill a staff vacancy in a timely manner. EDIS was unable to deliver services specified in students' Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). The local command was able to fill the vacancy, and services resumed after the end of the reporting period.

All DOD monitoring standards are being tracked annually for each EDIS program through the Organizational Assessment Program (OAP) and through Staff Assistance Visits (SAVs). Each local program has unique strengths and challenges. Systems are solidly in place and internal monitoring procedures ensure continued compliance with standards. Staff assistance visits and staff training focused on best-practices and process improvement activities.

2. Joint monitoring activities with DoDEA to review related services: The related services portion of EDIS is a subcomponent of the special education services provided by the DODDS. Monitoring of related services is included in oversight and monitoring conducted by DODDS, with involvement of EDIS managers. EDIS provides support to DODDS for their monitoring by making subject matter experts and information available to the monitoring teams and attending out-briefings. EDIS staff did not formally participate as members of a DODDS monitoring team.

3. Corrective actions related to DOD Monitoring: A DOD team monitored the delivery of IDEA services in the DODEA Heidelberg, Germany District in Sep 06. The visit included monitoring of EDIS programs at Heidelberg, Mannheim, and Wiesbaden. The DOD team found EDIS to be in compliance with DoD Instruction 1342.12. There were no corrective actions.

4. Request for redacted copies of due process hearings under IDEA: There were no due process hearings conducted during the period.

5. Mediations: Army EDIS received no requests for mediation during the reporting period.

6. Number of requests for due process hearings, and number conducted: There were no requests for due process filed by Families.

7. Reports of unavailable related services (RUMRS): DODDS schools are required to submit reports when EDIS is unable to evaluate a student in a timely manner, or to provide services required in a student’s individualized education program. Schools submit reports to both the DODEA and EDIS chains’ of command. Efforts are made to resolve RUMRS at the lowest level. This report contains RUMRS that were submitted to the MEDCOM level.

**EDIS-KOREA**

<b>Service</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Number Filed</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>	<b>Date Resolved</b>
Social Work	Seoul Amer Elem School	6	27Nov06	20Dec06
OT	Seoul Amer Elem School	9	27Nov06	-
Social Work	Seoul Amer Middle School	5	27Nov06	20Dec06
OT	Taegu Amer School	4	27Nov06	-
Social Work	Osan Amer High School	4	17Nov06	20Dec06
Social Work	Osan Amer Elem School	2	17Nov06	20Dec06
OT	Osan Amer Elem School	4	17Nov06	-

Seventeen RUMRS were not resolved during the reporting period.

8. Analysis of Program Data: The following section provides a data guided overview of Army EDIS and a perspective on how data are used in the compliance review process. Periodic review of data allows program managers to evaluate program activities without the time and expense of on-site visits.


**Population Served**

The Army provides EDIS at 9 domestic installations (includes Puerto Rico), Korea, and 16 program sites in Europe. Our domestic programs provide only Early Intervention Services (EIS) for infants and toddlers, while the OCONUS EDIS programs provide Related Services (RS) to special education students in the DOD Dependents Schools, in addition to EIS.

On 31 Mar 2007, the 26 Army EDIS teams served 929 children on active service plans: 448 infants and toddlers (domestic and overseas) and 481 school-aged children (overseas only). The number of service plans equates to enrollment in EDIS for reporting purposes. Over the span of the reporting period, a total of 3,899 children were seen by EDIS programs. The total includes children who were: referred and found not eligible for IDEA services; tracked for possible services; transitioned to other settings; or moved from the catchment area.

EIS are provided using Individualized Family Services Plans (IFSPs) and RS are documented on Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). These figures represent a 5% overall drop from last year's enrollment in EIS, and an 8% drop from last year's reported numbers for RS. Over the last 4 years, the total number of EDIS service plans declined 26%.

*Chart 1*  
Army EDIS World-Wide Enrollment



Understanding the reasons for fluctuations in the number of service plans is important for projecting staffing and budgetary requirements for EDIS. With 8 of the 26 EDIS sites having 10 or fewer EIS service plans, a decrease of 2 or 3 service plans may have a significant impact on the programs. Besides these management concerns, there are potential compliance issues raised by the decrease in the number of children served. That is, are the EDIS programs conducting adequate child find activities to locate and evaluate children who may have disabilities or developmental delays?

Identification of Infants and Toddlers:

The public law emphasizes early identification of infants and toddlers with special needs. The premise of early intervention is that, the earlier the intervention, the better the outcome. For our metric, we compared Army EDIS data on early identification to data reported by the U.S. Department of Education in its annual report to Congress.<sup>1 2</sup>

Table 1  
Percentage of Population Served in Early Intervention

	U.S. Dept. of Education	Army EDIS
Percentage of Total Population Served in Early Intervention, Birth to 12 months	0.9%	2.1%
Percentage of Total Population Served in Early Intervention, Birth to 36 months	2.2%	2.9%

EDIS served 2.9% of all potentially eligible infants/toddlers, birth through 36 months of age, as compared with 2.2% reported by the U.S. Department of Education based on reports from the 50 states and the District of Columbia. This EDIS figure is consistent with prevalence data in the medical literature, which reports that approximately 3% of all infants/toddlers require some sort of intervention to enhance development.

Our data indicate that during this reporting period, Army EDIS also served 2.1% of the estimated target population from birth to 12 months of age, as compared to an average of .9% reported by the U.S. Department of Education for this age group. EDIS has an effective early identification process.

Army EDIS data reflect effective public awareness and child find activities. The decline in the number of service plans is consistent with a drop in the population of children in the communities served by EDIS, not a deficiency in EDIS child find activities.

EDIS in Europe:

The EDIS programs in the Europe Regional Medical Command (ERMC) had a large drop in the number of service plans; with a total decline of 29% in plans since 2004 (see Chart 2). The greatest decline was in the delivery of EIS, with a change of 33%. The Army Transformation in Europe appears to be the major contributing factor in the declining number of service plans. Under the


---

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services, Office of Special Education Programs, *26<sup>th</sup> Annual (2004) Report to Congress on the Implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*, vol. 1, Washington, D.C., 2006.

<sup>2</sup> U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), *Report of infants and toddlers receiving early intervention services in accordance with Part C, 2004*. Data updated as of July 30, 2005.

transformation, the Army is reducing the number of Soldiers in Europe and consolidating the remaining Forces in a small number of communities. For example, the military community in Wuerzburg has downsized significantly with the closing of the military treatment facility and almost all community schools. The number of service plans for Wuerzburg EDIS declined from 81 in 2004 to 14 in 2007. Additional closures and realignments will occur in the coming years. That suggests that there will be a continued decline in the number of children served in Europe by Army EDIS and a reduction in the number of EDIS programs.

*Chart 2*  
Number of Service Plans in Army Europe over 4 Years




*EDIS in Domestic Locations:*

When examining the Army’s domestic programs, we see a 20% decline in the number of service plans over the last 4 years. However, the number of plans for the last 2 years has been the same (see Chart 3).

Anecdotal information from EDIS programs suggested that Families that had young children with developmental delays were leaving installations during deployments to be closer to Family support systems. Another program suggested that global war on terror may have disrupted Family lives so that they had no time to address the special needs of their infants and toddlers. The installations with EDIS programs have seen a sizable share of deployments, especially our larger EDIS program sites (Ft. Bragg, Ft. Benning, Ft. Campbell, and Ft. Stewart). These suggestions are not supported by the data. At the domestic sites, EDIS served 2.8% of the population birth to 12 months, and 3.1% of the population, birth to 36 months.

*Chart 3*  
Changes in Number of Service Plans in Domestic EDIS Programs



We then examined how the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) may have changed the population at the EDIS locations. We could not find data to support any direct impact from BRAC actions. None of the domestic EDIS sites have seen a significant reduction in the number of assigned Soldiers and Families.

At domestic locations, there is a unique limitation related to program eligibility. With the exception of Puerto Rico, Families must reside on the installation to be eligible for EIS from EDIS. Even if there is an increase or decrease in the total numbers of Soldiers assigned to a post, the potential population for EIS is limited by the number of available housing units on the installation. We found that during the move to privatize military housing, a large number of housing units were unoccupied because they were scheduled for replacement. Often the addition of new housing units is offset by vacancies related to the renovation of older units. Some locations reported that the low cost of quality housing in the local communities and the low mortgage interest rates encouraged Soldiers to purchase homes off post.

*Conclusion:* The decline in the number of service plans appears to be related to a reduction in the population base eligible to receive services from EDIS at some domestic locations. Child find activities are in place and EDIS is providing EIS to the appropriate percentage of the population base.

### **EDIS Staffing**

EDIS staffing is adequate to meet all statutory and regulatory requirements. Out of a total of 128 positions, 102 were direct service providers. Of the 102 direct service providers, 46% were contractors. Unlike the Navy and Air Force, the Army does not utilize active duty personnel for delivery of services within EDIS.

This year, EDIS reduced staffing 24% from number reported in the 2006 compliance report. Of those reductions, the number of Civil Service providers was reduced by 17% and the number of contracted providers was reduced by 36%. These staff reductions reflect three major factors: declining numbers of Family members in Europe; local and regional initiatives to reduce costs through resource sharing; and changes in service delivery models within early intervention services. We anticipate an additional reduction of 8-10 contract providers for FY 08.

On 31 Mar 07, we had an average ratio of 9 children per provider. This figure is not far from the commonly used ratio of 12:1 implemented by most state early intervention programs.

Eight of the 26 programs serve 10 or fewer children in EIS. Because of distances involved, a core staff is required to ensure that EDIS provides services in a timely manner. Getting greater efficiencies is difficult while providing services in small dispersed communities in Europe, or on small installations in domestic areas. Several of the initiatives identified in Section B describe efforts to maximize resources under these circumstances.

### **Compliance Data**

There were three major areas that DOD emphasized in its focused monitoring of EDIS during the 2007 reporting period: effective identification; timely development of service plans; and the delivery of services in natural environments. These reflect priorities identified by Congress in IDEA. EDIS meets or exceeds the requirements for these areas. Tables in Section C provide additional information.


#### **Early and Effective Identification of Infants and Toddlers:**

The public law emphasizes early identification of infants and toddlers with special needs. Of all referrals to EDIS for early intervention, 25% were received for children less than 12 months of age. This compared favorably to the 16% reported by the U.S. Department of Education in its annual report to Congress. Also see the Identification of Infants and Toddlers section addressed earlier under Population Served.

#### **Timely Service Plans:**

IDEA requires a timely completion of service plans, and DOD Instruction 1342.12 requires that EDIS evaluate, determine eligibility and meet to develop an Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP) within 45 days of referral.

*Chart 4*  
Percent of Referrals to IFSPs within 45 Days




During the 2007 reporting period, 95% of the referrals met the 45-day period standard. The performance level equaled the high percentage reported last year. Chart 4 shows the improvement in this area over the past 4 years. This gain is a result of focused performance improvement activities across all programs. Because EIS is a Family driven program where appointments are made at the convenience of the Family, it is unlikely that Army EDIS will be able to improve much beyond the 95% level on this metric.

*Natural Environments:*

IDEA and regulatory guidance require that early intervention services take place in the child's natural setting. During the current reporting period, Army EDIS provided nearly 96% of services in the home or other community-based environments, up by 5% from last year. Comparatively, the U.S. Department of Education reported that 85% of all services were delivered in natural settings. Chart 5 below demonstrates progress in delivering services in natural environments vs. exclusive settings, e.g., clinics.


*Chart 5*  
Environment for EIS Service Delivery



*Racial and Ethnic Composition:*

IDEA requires that EDIS programs collect data on racial and ethnic backgrounds of children receiving EIS. States have been emphasizing this requirement for the past 2 years. This is only the second year that we have collected racial and ethnic data for this population, and the first year that we are including it in this report. The purpose of these data is to determine if our programs are over or under identifying minorities for IDEA services, or treating one group differently from another in the location or the manner in which we deliver services.

*Chart 6*  
Ethnic and Racial Identifiers for Children in Army EIS



We collected these data in seven groupings: Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander; American Indian or Alaska Native; Asian; Black or African American; Hispanic; White; Two or more races. In addition we added an eighth grouping for Families who declined to identify the race or ethnicity of their children, Declined to State (see Chart 6).

There are several tables in Section C that include information on race and ethnicity. Interpretation of the data requires a comparison population. For example, one can compare where children receive services based on their ethnicity/race with the overall ethnicity/race of the total EDIS population. This comparison helps answer the question, “does EDIS treat all groups the same when the team determines where services will be provided?” A second approach is to make a broader comparison of the ethnicity/race of the EDIS population with a larger community population. This helps answer the question, “is the EIS population representative of the total community population?” Because the Army serves a geographic area that includes dependents whose sponsors may be from another Service or even DOD civilians, the analysis cannot rely on Army ethnicity/race data. A more appropriate comparison population is the school ethnicity/race data aggregated across the communities that Army EDIS serves. An initial comparison with the school population (see Chart 7) shows some differences, but the analysis is hindered by the large “Declined to State” group in Army EDIS. We will conduct additional analysis when DOD provides guidance on the incorporation of ethnicity/race data into the compliance standards.

Table 2  
Comparison of Ethnicity/Race Data Reported for EIS with Ethnicity/Race Data Reported for School-Aged Children in Army Areas of Geographic Responsibility

<b>Ethnicity/Race</b>	<b>Army EIS Count</b>	<b>Percentage by Army EIS</b>	<b>DoDEA Child Count</b>	<b>Percentage by DoDEA Count</b>
Hispanic	72	16.1%	7,320	17.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	1.3%	263	.6%
Asian	8	1.8%	1,604	3.9%
Black or African American	58	12.9%	7,320	17.9%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	4	.9%	401	1.0%
White	246	54.9%	20,144	49.2%
Two or More Races	19	4.2%	3,357	8.2%
Declined to State	35	7.8%	505	1.2%
	448		40,914	

*Average Length of Time in the Program:*

If EDIS receives a referral for a child at birth, there is a maximum 36-month service period before the child should exit early intervention. The short intervention window helps to explain the emphasis on the 45-day referral processing period and the need for early identification.

Infants/toddlers were enrolled in Army EIS for an average of 10.6 months during this reporting period. This is down from 11.1 months last year. The domestic programs are serving children for 11.2 and OCONUS for 10.1 months. The U.S. Department of Education reports that EIS programs in the states serve children for an average of 11 months.

As noted earlier, Army EDIS receives almost 25% of its referrals before the child's first birthday. It would seem likely that children would receive services longer from EDIS. However, a review of why children exit EDIS indicates that 35% of the Families move from the catchment area during the period that their children are receiving EIS. This is consistent with the expectations of our mobile military Families.

**Related Services (RS) for Special Education Students**


RS is a support program for the schools. DoDEA maintains official data on RS as part of the special education program. EDIS collects data for program management and process improvement activities.

Children who are suspected of having an educational disability are referred to a school-based committee. If there is need for EDIS to be involved in the evaluation to determine the need for special education or the programming necessary to meet the needs of a child, a school will send a request for an evaluation. If a school-based committee (with EDIS participation) determines that a child needs a related service to benefit from special education, or if a child enters the school with an existing service plan that requires a related service, the school will send a request for services.

During the current reporting period, EDIS programs OCONUS received 561 requests for evaluation of school-aged children, and 698 requests for services from the DODDS. The total of 1,259 requests from DODDS is only 3 requests fewer than the number report in the 2006 compliance report. Approximately, 86% of all requests for evaluation were completed within 45 school days from the date that parental permission was given for the evaluation. This is an area that will be targeted for program improvement.

Occupational therapy continues to be the primary service provided by EDIS to support the DODDS special education program, making up nearly 71% of all school-based services (see Chart 7). Physical therapists provided just over 16% of all school-based services. These proportions have not changed significantly over the past 4 years.

*Chart 7*  
Related Services Provided on Individualized Education Programs



## **B. Program Initiatives**

Blank Page

## **Program Initiatives**

1. Army EDIS programs have concentrated their initiatives on the quality of services provided to children and on the use of data for program improvement.
2. Specific system-wide initiatives at the HQ MEDCOM or Regional levels include:
  - Adopted functional outcome measures for children and Families developed by the Early Childhood Outcomes Center and endorsed by the Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP).
  - Issued a policy to begin measuring functional outcomes. System-wide staff training will commence during the next reporting period.
  - Started developing competency-based staff training modules that will lead to certification as an EIS provider.
  - Continued extensive analysis of longitudinal data from the Special Needs Management Information System (SNPMIS) to re-assess overall program and resource requirements.
  - Continued progress toward implementation of a “primary provider” model of early intervention services – considered best-practices in the field of early intervention.
  - Continued publication of monthly “Keeping in Touch” articles, aimed at improving quality of services and management of programs. Keeping in Touch is part of the overall system of personnel development and is shared with Air Force and Navy EDIS programs.
  - Incorporated outcome measures into SNPMIS for data collection and Army-wide analysis of data.
  - Modified SNPMIS to include Response to Intervention tracking to meet requirements in IDEA reauthorization.
3. Regional Initiatives:
  - Initiated resource sharing among installations and regions to address the increasing shortage of qualified early intervention professionals in the United States, and to maximize available professional staff within the Army and DOD.
  - Conducted three staff training conferences – one in Europe and two in CONUS. These training conferences were open to Air Force and Navy EDIS personnel.
  - Implemented training on the “coaching” technique for providing early intervention services. This supports Family-centered services.

BLANK PAGE

## **C. Operational Data**

Blank Page

## C. Operational Data

1. Service Plan Count for EDIS .....	C-1
2. Staffing by Provider Type and Discipline by FTE .....	C-5
3. EDIS EIS Enrollment by Ethnicity and Race .....	C-7
4. Number of Children Who Are Receiving EIS from EDIS by DoDEA Enrollment Category.....	C-9
5. Number of Children Referred to EDIS for EIS by Month .....	C-11
6. Number of Children by Age at Time of Initial Referral .....	C-13
7. Percentage of Children Served in Early Intervention (Birth to 12 Months) .....	C-17
8. Percentage of Children Served in Early Intervention (Birth to 36 Months) .....	C-19
9. Percentage of Children Who Were Referred to EDIS and Had Their Initial IFSP Meeting Conducted within 45 Days.....	C-21
10. Total EIS Eligibility Counts .....	C-23
11. Number of Services Provided to Children Receiving EIS .....	C-27
12. Number of Sessions by Environments Where EIS Were Provided .....	C-32
13. Length of Time that Children Received EIS from EDIS .....	C-39
14. Discharge Reasons for Children in EIS Discharged from EDIS .....	C-47
15. Number of Children Who Are Receiving Related Services from EDIS by DoDEA Enrollment Category.....	C-53
16. Number of Children Who Are Receiving Related Services from EDIS by DoDEA Eligibility Criteria.....	C-55
17. Number of Children Who Are Receiving Related Services from EDIS by DoDEA Enrollment Category and DoDEA Eligibility Criteria .....	C-57
18. Requests from DoDDS for Evaluations and Services.....	C-59
19. Percentage of EDIS Evaluation Requests Completed on Time.....	C-61
20. Services Provided to Children on IEPs .....	C-62
21. Services Provided to Students with IEPs by Location .....	C-65



**Service Plan Count for EDIS**  
**31 MAR 2007**

**ARMY EDIS**

**SUMMARY**

	IFSP Count	IEP Count	
ERMC EDIS SUPPORT AREA	215	438	653
18TH MEDCOM SUPPORT AREA	11	43	54
NARMC EDIS SUPPORT AREA	101		101
SERMC EDIS SUPPORT AREA	121		121
TOTAL	<b>448</b>	<b>481</b>	<b>929</b>

	IFSP Count	IEP Count	
CONUS	222		222
OCONUS	226	481	707
TOTAL	<b>448</b>	<b>481</b>	<b>929</b>

**Service Plan Count for EDIS by EDIS  
Site and Command  
31 MAR 2007**

**ARMY EDIS**

**ERMC EDIS SUPPORT AREA**

	IFSP Count	IEP Count	Total
ANSBACH - EDIS	11	33	44
BAMBERG - EDIS	15	23	38
BAUMHOLDER EDIS	17	13	30
DARMSTADT EDIS	6	6	12
GIESSEN EDIS	15	11	26
HANAU EDIS	10	30	40
HEIDELBERG EDIS	12	27	39
LRMC EDIS	46	112	158
MANNHEIM EDIS	4	23	27
SCHWEINFURT - EDIS	16	19	35
SHAPE EDIS	3	25	28
STUTTGART EDIS	14	37	51
VICENZA EDIS	6	24	30
VILSECK - EDIS	25	31	56
WIESBADEN EDIS	13	12	25
WUERZBURG - EDIS	2	12	14
	215	438	653

**18TH MEDCOM SUPPORT AREA**

	IFSP Count	IEP Count	Total
EDIS KOREA	11	43	54
	11	43	54

**NARMC EDIS SUPPORT AREA**

	IFSP Count	Total
FT. BRAGG EDIS	70	70
FT. KNOX EDIS	15	15
WEST POINT EDIS	16	16
	101	101

**SERMC EDIS SUPPORT AREA**

	IFSP Count	Total
FORT BUCHANAN EDIS	12	12
FT. BENNING EDIS	23	23
FT. CAMPBELL EDIS	49	49
FT. JACKSON EDIS	5	5
FT. RUCKER EDIS	8	8
FT. STEWART EDIS	24	24
	121	121

**Service Plan Count for EDIS by Ethnicity and Race**  
**31 MAR 2007**

**ARMY EDIS**

	<b>CONUS</b>		<b>OCONUS</b>		<b>Sum:</b>	
	<b>IFSP Count</b>		<b>IFSP Count</b>			
<b>Hispanic</b>	31	14.0 %	41	18.1 %	72	16.1 %
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	2	0.9 %	2	0.9 %	4	0.9 %
<b>Asian</b>	1	0.5 %	7	3.1 %	8	1.8 %
<b>Black or African American</b>	35	15.8 %	23	10.2 %	58	12.9 %
<b>Other Pacific Islander</b>	1	0.5 %	3	1.3 %	4	0.9 %
<b>White</b>	130	58.6 %	116	51.3 %	246	54.9 %
<b>Two or More Races</b>	7	3.2 %	14	6.2 %	21	4.7 %
<b>Declined to State</b>	15	6.8 %	20	8.8 %	35	7.8 %
	<b>222</b>		<b>226</b>		<b>448</b>	



## Staffing by Provider Type and Discipline by FTE

**31 MAR 2007**

### ARMY EDIS

	CIV	CON	OTH	Sum
ADMIN STAFF	12.80	0.50	4.30	17.60
CHN	1.00			1.00
ECE		1.00		1.00
ECSE	8.00	16.80		24.80
FAMILY SERVICE COORDINATOR	2.00			2.00
FAMILY THERAPIST	1.00			1.00
MGMT STAFF	7.00		1.00	8.00
OT	19.50	8.81		28.31
OTHER	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
PEDIATRICIAN				
PSYCHOLOGIST	7.10			7.10
PT	5.80	3.75	0.00	9.55
REGISTERED NURSE		1.00		1.00
SLP	6.50	14.00		20.50
SOCIAL WORKER	5.00	0.15		5.15
Sum	75.70	47.01	5.30	128.01

## Staffing by Provider Type and Discipline by FTE

**31 MAR 2007**

### ARMY EDIS

#### CONUS

	CIV	CON	OTH	Sum
ADMIN STAFF	6.00	0.50		6.50
CHN	1.00			1.00
ECSE	8.00	6.00		14.00
FAMILY SERVICE COORDINATOR	2.00			2.00
FAMILY THERAPIST	1.00			1.00
MGMT STAFF	3.00			3.00
OT	1.00	1.81		2.81
OTHER	0.00		0.00	0.00
PEDIATRICIAN				
PT		1.75	0.00	1.75
REGISTERED NURSE		1.00		1.00
SLP	5.50	2.00		7.50
Sum	27.50	13.06	0.00	40.56

#### OCONUS

	CIV	CON	OTH	Sum
ADMIN STAFF	6.80		4.30	11.10
ECE		1.00		1.00
ECSE		10.80		10.80
MGMT STAFF	4.00		1.00	5.00
OT	18.50	7.00		25.50
OTHER		1.00		1.00
PSYCHOLOGIST	7.10			7.10
PT	5.80	2.00		7.80
SLP	1.00	12.00		13.00
SOCIAL WORKER	5.00	0.15		5.15
Sum	48.20	33.95	5.30	87.45

**Total EDIS EIS Enrollment  
by Ethnicity and Race  
31 MAR 2007**

**ARMY EDIS**

		Percentage
<b>Hispanic</b>	72	<b>16.1 %</b>
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	6	<b>1.3 %</b>
<b>Asian</b>	8	<b>1.8 %</b>
<b>Black or African American</b>	58	<b>12.9 %</b>
<b>Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander</b>	4	<b>0.9 %</b>
<b>White</b>	246	<b>54.9 %</b>
<b>Two or More Races</b>	19	<b>4.2 %</b>
<b>Declined to State</b>	35	<b>7.8 %</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>448</b>	

**EDIS EIS Enrollment by Ethnicity and Race by  
Geographic Area  
31 MAR 2007**

**ARMY EDIS**

**CONUS**

		Percentage
<b>Hispanic</b>	31	14.0 %
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	3	1.4 %
<b>Asian</b>	1	0.5 %
<b>Black or African American</b>	35	15.8 %
<b>Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander</b>	1	0.5 %
<b>White</b>	130	58.6 %
<b>Two or More Races</b>	6	2.7 %
<b>Declined to State</b>	15	6.8 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>222</b>	

**OCONUS**

		Percentage
<b>Hispanic</b>	41	18.1 %
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	3	1.3 %
<b>Asian</b>	7	3.1 %
<b>Black or African American</b>	23	10.2 %
<b>Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander</b>	3	1.3 %
<b>White</b>	116	51.3 %
<b>Two or More Races</b>	13	5.8 %
<b>Declined to State</b>	20	8.8 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>226</b>	

## Number of Children Who are Receiving EIS from EDIS by DoDEA Enrollment Category

SNPMIS  
DoD-4(COM-07)a

Page: 1 of 1

**31 MAR 2007**

### ARMY EDIS

	ARMY CONUS	%	ARMY OCONUS	%	Total	% of Total Students Served
AIR FORCE - TUIT FREE SPACE A	1	0.5 %			1	0.2 %
AIR FORCE - TUIT FREE SPACE RE	16	7.2 %	37	16.4 %	53	11.8 %
ARMY - TUIT FREE SPACE A	2	0.9 %			2	0.4 %
ARMY - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ	195	87.8 %	173	76.5 %	368	82.1 %
DOD CIV - TUIT FREE SPACE A			1	0.4 %	1	0.2 %
DOD CIV - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ			10	4.4 %	10	2.2 %
ELIGIBLE DDESS	1	0.5 %			1	0.2 %
NAVY - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ			3	1.3 %	3	0.7 %
NON DOD CIV-FT US GOVT EMP(PR)			1	0.4 %	1	0.2 %
NON DOD CIV - US CUSTOMS (PR)	3	1.4 %			3	0.7 %
U.S.C.G. - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ	4	1.8 %			4	0.9 %
US INTEREST - TUIT PAY SPACE A			1	0.4 %	1	0.2 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>222</b>		<b>226</b>		<b>448</b>	




# Number of Children Referred to EDIS for EIS by Month

SNPMIS  
DoD-5(COM-07)a

## 01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007

### ARMY EDIS

	JUL 2006	AUG 2006	SEP 2006	OCT 2006	NOV 2006	DEC 2006	JAN 2007	FEB 2007	MAR 2007	APR 2007	MAY 2007	JUN 2007	Total
<b>EIS</b>	178	227	182	173	166	109	184	148	192	152	165	132	<b>2,008</b>



## Number of Children Referred to EDIS by Month by Geographic Area


SNPMIS  
DoD-5(COM-07)b

**01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007**

### ARMY EDIS


#### CONUS

	JUL 2006	AUG 2006	SEP 2006	OCT 2006	NOV 2006	DEC 2006	JAN 2007	FEB 2007	MAR 2007	APR 2007	MAY 2007	JUN 2007	Total
<b>EIS</b>	72	94	59	79	82	43	76	74	89	65	87	53	<b>873</b>



#### OCONUS

	JUL 2006	AUG 2006	SEP 2006	OCT 2006	NOV 2006	DEC 2006	JAN 2007	FEB 2007	MAR 2007	APR 2007	MAY 2007	JUN 2007	Total
<b>EIS</b>	106	133	123	94	84	66	108	74	103	87	78	79	<b>1,135</b>



## Number of Children by Age at Time of Initial Referral

**01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007**

### ARMY EDIS

#### TOTAL EDIS

	2006				2007				Total	%				
	QTR 3		QTR 4		QTR 1		QTR 2							
<b>Birth to 6 Months</b>	51	10.7 %	42	11.4 %	93	11.0 %	58	13.2 %	46	11.9 %	104	12.6 %	197	11.8 %
<b>6 - 12 Months</b>	62	13.0 %	58	15.7 %	120	14.2 %	50	11.4 %	45	11.6 %	95	11.5 %	215	12.9 %
<b>12 - 18 Months</b>	72	15.1 %	63	17.1 %	135	16.0 %	55	12.5 %	71	18.3 %	126	15.2 %	261	15.6 %
<b>18 - 24 Months</b>	108	22.7 %	98	26.6 %	206	24.4 %	120	27.3 %	90	23.3 %	210	25.4 %	416	24.9 %
<b>24 - 30 Months</b>	102	21.4 %	69	18.7 %	171	20.2 %	92	20.9 %	89	23.0 %	181	21.9 %	352	21.1 %
<b>30 - 36 Months</b>	74	15.5 %	39	10.6 %	113	13.4 %	63	14.3 %	45	11.6 %	108	13.1 %	221	13.2 %
<b>Over 36 Months</b>	7	1.5 %			7	0.8 %	2	0.5 %	1	0.3 %	3	0.4 %	10	0.6 %
	<b>476</b>		<b>369</b>		<b>845</b>		<b>440</b>		<b>387</b>		<b>827</b>		<b>1,672</b>	

#### CONUS

	2006				2007				Total	%				
	QTR 3		QTR 4		QTR 1		QTR 2							
<b>Birth to 6 Months</b>	21	10.9 %	18	9.9 %	39	10.4 %	24	11.8 %	18	10.6 %	42	11.3 %	81	10.8 %
<b>6 - 12 Months</b>	23	11.9 %	23	12.7 %	46	12.3 %	23	11.3 %	17	10.0 %	40	10.7 %	86	11.5 %
<b>12 - 18 Months</b>	27	14.0 %	34	18.8 %	61	16.3 %	30	14.8 %	32	18.8 %	62	16.6 %	123	16.5 %
<b>18 - 24 Months</b>	52	26.9 %	52	28.7 %	104	27.8 %	65	32.0 %	41	24.1 %	106	28.4 %	210	28.1 %
<b>24 - 30 Months</b>	48	24.9 %	38	21.0 %	86	23.0 %	31	15.3 %	40	23.5 %	71	19.0 %	157	21.0 %
<b>30 - 36 Months</b>	21	10.9 %	16	8.8 %	37	9.9 %	28	13.8 %	21	12.4 %	49	13.1 %	86	11.5 %
<b>Over 36 Months</b>	1	0.5 %			1	0.3 %	2	1.0 %	1	0.6 %	3	0.8 %	4	0.5 %
	<b>193</b>		<b>181</b>		<b>374</b>		<b>203</b>		<b>170</b>		<b>373</b>		<b>747</b>	

#### OCONUS

	2006				2007				Total	%				
	QTR 3		QTR 4		QTR 1		QTR 2							
<b>Birth to 6 Months</b>	30	10.6 %	24	12.8 %	54	11.5 %	34	14.3 %	28	12.9 %	62	13.7 %	116	12.5 %
<b>6 - 12 Months</b>	39	13.8 %	35	18.6 %	74	15.7 %	27	11.4 %	28	12.9 %	55	12.1 %	129	13.9 %
<b>12 - 18 Months</b>	45	15.9 %	29	15.4 %	74	15.7 %	25	10.5 %	39	18.0 %	64	14.1 %	138	14.9 %
<b>18 - 24 Months</b>	56	19.8 %	46	24.5 %	102	21.7 %	55	23.2 %	49	22.6 %	104	22.9 %	206	22.3 %
<b>24 - 30 Months</b>	54	19.1 %	31	16.5 %	85	18.0 %	61	25.7 %	49	22.6 %	110	24.2 %	195	21.1 %
<b>30 - 36 Months</b>	53	18.7 %	23	12.2 %	76	16.1 %	35	14.8 %	24	11.1 %	59	13.0 %	135	14.6 %
<b>Over 36 Months</b>	6	2.1 %			6	1.3 %							6	0.6 %
	<b>283</b>		<b>188</b>		<b>471</b>		<b>237</b>		<b>217</b>		<b>454</b>		<b>925</b>	

# Number of Children by Age at Time of Initial Referral by Race and Ethnicity

**01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007**

SNPMIS  
DoD-6(COM-07)b  
**ARMY EDIS**

Page: 1 of 1

## TOTAL EDIS

	Birth to 6 Months	%	6 - 12 Months	%	12 - 18 Months	%	18 - 24 Months	%	24 - 30 Months	%	30 - 36 Months	%	Over 36 Months	%	Sum:
Hispanic	18	8.7 %	25	12.1 %	23	11.2 %	62	30.1 %	48	23.3 %	30	14.6 %			206
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	14.3 %			1	14.3 %			4	57.1 %	1	14.3 %			7
Asian	3	10.7 %	3	10.7 %	5	17.9 %	6	21.4 %	8	28.6 %	3	10.7 %			28
Black or African American	23	17.8 %	14	10.9 %	18	14.0 %	30	23.3 %	32	24.8 %	12	9.3 %			129
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander			2	18.2 %			5	45.5 %	3	27.3 %	1	9.1 %			11
White	96	14.5 %	86	13.0 %	109	16.4 %	139	20.9 %	132	19.9 %	99	14.9 %	3	0.5 %	664
Two or More Races	3	6.0 %	5	10.0 %	5	10.0 %	15	30.0 %	13	26.0 %	8	16.0 %	1	2.0 %	50
Declined to State	53	9.2 %	80	13.9 %	100	17.3 %	159	27.6 %	112	19.4 %	67	11.6 %	6	1.0 %	577
<b>Total:</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>11.8 %</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>12.9 %</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>15.6 %</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>24.9 %</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>21.1 %</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>13.2 %</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0.6 %</b>	<b>1672</b>

**Number of Children by Age at Time of Initial Referral by Race and Ethnicity**

**01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007**

SNPMIS

DoD-6(COM-07)c

**ARMY EDIS**

Page: 1 of 2

**CONUS**

	Birth to 6 Months	%	6 - 12 Months	%	12 - 18 Months	%	18 - 24 Months	%	24 - 30 Months	%	30 - 36 Months	%	Over 36 Months	%	Sum:
Hispanic	7	8.4 %	8	9.6 %	11	13.3 %	26	31.3 %	19	22.9 %	12	14.5 %			83
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	50.0 %			1	50.0 %					2
Asian	1	12.5 %			2	25.0 %	3	37.5 %	2	25.0 %					8
Black or African American	11	15.5 %	6	8.5 %	13	18.3 %	19	26.8 %	15	21.1 %	7	9.9 %			71
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander			1	20.0 %		40.0 %	2	40.0 %	1	20.0 %	1	20.0 %			5
White	47	15.4 %	33	10.8 %	58	19.0 %	66	21.6 %	60	19.7 %	41	13.4 %			305
Two or More Races	1	5.3 %	1	5.3 %	1	5.3 %	4	21.1 %	8	42.1 %	3	15.8 %	1	5.3 %	19
Declined to State	14	5.5 %	37	14.6 %	37	14.6 %	90	35.4 %	51	20.1 %	22	8.7 %	3	1.2 %	254
<b>Total:</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>10.8 %</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>11.5 %</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>16.5 %</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>28.1 %</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>21.0 %</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>11.5 %</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.5 %</b>	<b>747</b>

**Number of Children by Age at Time of Initial Referral by Race and Ethnicity**

**01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007**

SNPMIS  
DoD-6(COM-07)c  
**ARMY EDIS**

**OCONUS**

	Birth to 6 Months		6 - 12 Months		12 - 18 Months		18 - 24 Months		24 - 30 Months		30 - 36 Months		Over 36 Months		Sum:
	Months	%	Months	%	Months	%	Months	%	Months	%	Months	%	Months	%	
Hispanic	11	8.9 %	17	13.8 %	12	9.8 %	36	29.3 %	29	23.6 %	18	14.6 %			123
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	20.0 %							3	60.0 %	1	20.0 %			5
Asian	2	10.0 %	3	15.0 %	3	15.0 %	3	15.0 %	6	30.0 %	3	15.0 %			20
Black or African American	12	20.7 %	8	13.8 %	5	8.6 %	11	19.0 %	17	29.3 %	5	8.6 %			58
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander			1	16.7 %			3	50.0 %	2	33.3 %					6
White	49	13.6 %	53	14.8 %	51	14.2 %	73	20.3 %	72	20.1 %	58	16.2 %	3	0.8 %	359
Two or More Races	2	6.5 %	4	12.9 %	4	12.9 %	11	35.5 %	5	16.1 %	5	16.1 %			31
Declined to State	39	12.1 %	43	13.3 %	63	19.5 %	69	21.4 %	61	18.9 %	45	13.9 %	3	0.9 %	323
<b>Total:</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>12.5 %</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>13.9 %</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>14.9 %</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>22.3 %</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>21.1 %</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>14.6 %</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.6 %</b>	<b>925</b>

## Percentage of Children Served in Early Intervention Birth to 12 Months

SNPMIS  
DoD-7(COM-07)a

**31 MAR 2007**

Page: 1 of 1

### ARMY EDIS

#### TOTAL EDIS

<b>31 MAR 2007</b>	
Population Projection - DoDEA Kindergarten	5150
Estimate of Children, Birth - 12 Months	5150
Actual Children Served in EIS, Birth - 12 Months	110
Percentage Served, Birth - 12 Months	2.1%

#### CONUS

<b>31 MAR 2007</b>	
Population Projection - DoDEA Kindergarten	2362
Estimate of Children, Birth - 12 Months	2362
Actual Children Served in EIS, Birth - 12 Months	66
Percentage Served, Birth - 12 Months	2.8%

#### OCONUS

<b>31 MAR 2007</b>	
Population Projection - DoDEA Kindergarten	2788
Estimate of Children, Birth - 12 Months	2788
Actual Children Served in EIS, Birth - 12 Months	44
Percentage Served, Birth - 12 Months	1.6%



**Percentage of Children Served in Early  
Intervention Birth to 36 Months  
31 MAR 2007**

SNPMIS  
DoD-8(COM-07)a  
**ARMY EDIS**

Page: 1 of 1

**TOTAL EDIS**

<b>31 MAR 2007</b>	
<b>Population Projection - DoDEA Kindergarten</b>	<b>5150</b>
<b>Estimate of Children, Birth - 36 Months</b>	<b>15450</b>
<b>Actual Children Served in EIS, Birth - 36 Months</b>	<b>448</b>
<b>Percentage Served, Birth - 36 Months</b>	<b>2.9%</b>

**CONUS**

<b>31 MAR 2007</b>	
<b>Population Projection - DoDEA Kindergarten</b>	<b>2362</b>
<b>Estimate of Children, Birth - 36 Months</b>	<b>7086</b>
<b>Actual Children Served in EIS, Birth - 36 Months</b>	<b>222</b>
<b>Percentage Served, Birth - 36 Months</b>	<b>3.1%</b>

**OCONUS**

<b>31 MAR 2007</b>	
<b>Population Projection - DoDEA Kindergarten</b>	<b>2788</b>
<b>Estimate of Children, Birth - 36 Months</b>	<b>8364</b>
<b>Actual Children Served in EIS, Birth - 36 Months</b>	<b>226</b>
<b>Percentage Served, Birth - 36 Months</b>	<b>2.7%</b>



**Percentage of Children Who Were Referred to  
EDIS and Had Their Initial IFSP Meeting  
Conducted within 45 Days  
01 JUL 2006 - 30 JUN 2007**

SNPMIS  
DoD-9(COM-07)a

Page: 1 of 1

**ARMY EDIS**

**TOTAL**

	Child Count = 628	Equal or Under 45 Days = 596	Event Count = 629
<b>Percent under 45 Days = 95%</b>		Over 45 Days = 33	

**CONUS**

	Child Count = 284	Equal or Under 45 Days = 268	Event Count = 284
<b>Percent under 45 Days = 94%</b>		Over 45 Days = 16	

**OCONUS**

	Child Count = 344	Equal or Under 45 Days = 328	Event Count = 345
<b>Percent under 45 Days = 95%</b>		Over 45 Days = 17	



**Total EIS Eligibility Counts  
01 JUL 2006 - 30 JUN 2007**

**ARMY EDIS**

Basis for Eligibility	# Eligible	Communication Only				Social/Emotional Only		Cognitive Only		Physical Only		Adaptive Only		1 Area Only		2 or More Areas of Delay		Empty/No Areas	
		12	2	2	2	27	5	48	44	0									
<b>BIOLOGICAL RISK</b>	92	12	2	2	2	27	5	48	44	0									
Percentage of # Eligible:	12.2 %	13.0 %	2.2 %	2.2 %	29.3 %	5.4 %	52.2 %	47.8 %	0.0 %										
<b>Basis for Eligibility</b>	<b># Eligible</b>																		
<b>DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY</b>	662	214	10	4	35	7	270	392	0										
Percentage of # Eligible:	87.8 %	32.3 %	1.5 %	0.6 %	5.3 %	1.1 %	40.8 %	59.2 %	0.0 %										
Total:	754	226	12	6	62	12	318	436	0										
Percentage of Total:		30.0 %	1.6 %	0.8 %	8.2 %	1.6 %	42.2 %	57.8 %	0.0 %										

Basis for Eligibility	# Eligible	Communication				Social/Emotional		Cognitive		Physical		Adaptive		2 Areas		3 Areas		4 Areas		Empty	
		44	27	33	66	43	14	5	3	22	0										
<b>BIOLOGICAL RISK</b>	92	44	27	33	66	43	14	5	3	22	0										
Percentage of # Eligible:	12.2 %	47.8 %	29.3 %	35.9 %	71.7 %	46.7 %	15.2 %	5.4 %	3.3 %	23.9 %	0.0 %										
<b>Basis for Eligibility</b>	<b># Eligible</b>																				
<b>DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY</b>	662	576	191	260	160	198	194	102	59	37	0										
Percentage of # Eligible:	87.8 %	87.0 %	28.9 %	39.3 %	24.2 %	29.9 %	29.3 %	15.4 %	8.9 %	5.6 %	0.0 %										
Total:	754	620	218	293	226	241	208	107	62	59	0										
Percentage of Total:		82.2 %	28.9 %	38.9 %	30.0 %	32.0 %	27.6 %	14.2 %	8.2 %	7.8 %	0.0 %										



**EIS Eligibility Counts (CONUS and OCONUS)**  
**01 JUL 2006 - 30 JUN 2007**

**ARMY EDIS**

**OCONUS**

Basis for Eligibility	# Eligible	Communication Only			Social/Emotional Only			Cognitive Only			Physical Only			Adaptive Only			1 Area Only	2 or More Areas of Delay	Empty/No Areas
		Communication Only	Social/Emotional Only	Cognitive Only	Physical Only	Adaptive Only	Communication Only	Social/Emotional Only	Cognitive Only	Physical Only	Adaptive Only	Communication Only	Social/Emotional Only	Cognitive Only	Physical Only	Adaptive Only			
<b>BIOLOGICAL RISK</b>	28	2	0	1	12	1	7.1 %	0.0 %	3.6 %	42.9 %	3.6 %	16	12	0	57.1 %	42.9 %	0.0 %		
Percentage of # Eligible:	6.9 %																		
<b>Basis for Eligibility</b>	<b># Eligible</b>	Communication Only			Social/Emotional Only			Cognitive Only			Physical Only			Adaptive Only			1 Area Only	2 or More Areas of Delay	Empty/No Areas
<b>DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY</b>	377	138	0	2	24	2	36.6 %	0.0 %	0.5 %	6.4 %	0.5 %	166	211	0	44.0 %	56.0 %			
Percentage of # Eligible:	93.1 %																		
Total:	405	140	0	3	36	3	34.6 %	0.0 %	0.7 %	8.9 %	0.7 %	182	223	0	44.9 %	55.1 %	0.0 %		
Percentage of Total:																			

Basis for Eligibility	# Eligible	Communication			Social/Emotional			Cognitive			Physical			Adaptive			2 Areas	3 Areas	4 Areas	Global	Empty
		Communication	Social/Emotional	Cognitive	Physical	Adaptive	Communication	Social/Emotional	Cognitive	Physical	Adaptive	Communication	Social/Emotional	Cognitive	Physical	Adaptive					
<b>BIOLOGICAL RISK</b>	28	11	7	10	23	10	39.3 %	25.0 %	35.7 %	82.1 %	35.7 %	4	1	1	6	14.3 %	3.6 %	3.6 %	2.1 %	0.0 %	
Percentage of # Eligible:	6.9 %																				
<b>Basis for Eligibility</b>	<b># Eligible</b>	Communication			Social/Emotional			Cognitive			Physical			Adaptive			2 Areas	3 Areas	4 Areas	Global	Empty
<b>DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY</b>	377	337	97	148	81	90	89.4 %	25.7 %	39.3 %	21.5 %	23.9 %	109	55	31	16	28.9 %					
Percentage of # Eligible:	93.1 %																				
Total:	405	348	104	158	104	100	85.9 %	25.7 %	39.0 %	25.7 %	24.7 %	113	56	32	22	27.9 %	13.8 %	7.9 %	5.4 %	0.0 %	
Percentage of Total:																					



## Number of Services Provided to Children Receiving EIS

SNPMIS  
DoD-11(COM-07)a

**31 MAR 2007**

Page: 1 of 1

### ARMY EDIS

SERVICE	Count	Percentage
FAMILY TRAINING, COUNSELING	33	5.2 %
NURSING SERVICES	12	1.9 %
NUTRITION	1	0.2 %
OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY	99	15.7 %
PHYSICAL THERAPY	76	12.1 %
SOCIAL WORK	6	1.0 %
SPECIAL INSTRUCTION (ECSE)	200	31.7 %
SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY	203	32.2 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>630</b>	

**Number of Services Provided to Children  
Receiving EIS (CONUS-OCONUS)  
31 MAR 2007**

SNPMIS  
DoD-11(COM-07)b

Page: 1 of 1

**ARMY EDIS**

**CONUS**

<b>SERVICE</b>	<b>Count</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
FAMILY TRAINING, COUNSELING	30	10.1 %
NURSING SERVICES	12	4.1 %
OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY	45	15.2 %
PHYSICAL THERAPY	40	13.5 %
SPECIAL INSTRUCTION (ECSE)	110	37.2 %
SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY	59	19.9 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>296</b>	

**OCONUS**

<b>SERVICE</b>	<b>Count</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
FAMILY TRAINING, COUNSELING	3	0.9 %
NUTRITION	1	0.3 %
OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY	54	16.2 %
PHYSICAL THERAPY	36	10.8 %
SOCIAL WORK	6	1.8 %
SPECIAL INSTRUCTION (ECSE)	90	26.9 %
SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY	144	43.1 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>334</b>	

## Number of Services Provided to Children Receiving EIS by Race and Ethnicity

SNPMIS  
DoD-11(COM-07)  
**ARMY EDIS**

**31 MAR 2007**

Page: 1 of 3

### FAMILY TRAINING, COUNSELING

	CONUS	OCONUS	Percent of All Services
American Indian or Alaska Native	1		14.3 %
Asian	1	1	14.3 %
Black or African American	2		2.4 %
Hispanic	5		5.2 %
White	18	2	5.7 %
Two or More Races	2		7.1 %
Declined to State	1		2.2 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>3</b>	
<b>Percent:</b>	<b>10.1 %</b>	<b>0.9 %</b>	<b>5.2 %</b>

### NURSING SERVICES

	CONUS	Percent of All Services
Black or African American	4	4.8 %
White	8	2.3 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>12</b>	
<b>Percent:</b>	<b>4.1 %</b>	<b>1.9 %</b>

### NUTRITION

	OCONUS	Percent of All Services
Hispanic	1	1.0 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>1</b>	
<b>Percent:</b>	<b>0.3 %</b>	<b>0.2 %</b>

### OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY

	CONUS	OCONUS	Percent of All Services
American Indian or Alaska Native	1		14.3 %
Asian		1	7.1 %
Black or African American	9	9	21.4 %
Hispanic	7	7	14.6 %
White	26	27	15.1 %
Two or More Races	2	3	17.9 %
Declined to State		7	15.2 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>54</b>	
<b>Percent:</b>	<b>15.2 %</b>	<b>16.2 %</b>	<b>15.7 %</b>

\*\*\* FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY \*\*\*

\*\*\* PRIVACY ACT DATA IAW PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 \*\*\*

\*\*\* DISPOSE OF THIS PROPERLY \*\*\*

## Number of Services Provided to Children Receiving EIS by Race and Ethnicity

SNPMIS  
DoD-11(COM-07)  
ARMY EDIS

**31 MAR 2007**

Page: 2 of 3

### PHYSICAL THERAPY

	CONUS	OCONUS	Percent of All Services
Asian		3	21.4 %
Black or African American	6	2	9.5 %
Hispanic	4	3	7.3 %
White	22	22	12.5 %
Two or More Races	1	2	10.7 %
Declined to State	7	4	23.9 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>	
<b>Percent:</b>	<b>13.5 %</b>	<b>10.8 %</b>	<b>12.1 %</b>

### SOCIAL WORK

	OCONUS	Percent of All Services
Hispanic	3	3.1 %
White	3	0.9 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>6</b>	
<b>Percent:</b>	<b>1.8 %</b>	<b>1.0 %</b>

### SPECIAL INSTRUCTION (ECSE)

	CONUS	OCONUS	Percent of All Services
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	28.6 %
Asian		3	21.4 %
Black or African American	23	10	39.3 %
Hispanic	14	20	35.4 %
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	2	75.0 %
White	64	43	30.5 %
Two or More Races	1	7	28.6 %
Declined to State	6	4	21.7 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>90</b>	
<b>Percent:</b>	<b>37.2 %</b>	<b>26.9 %</b>	<b>31.7 %</b>

## Number of Services Provided to Children Receiving EIS by Race and Ethnicity

SNPMIS  
DoD-11(COM-07)  
**ARMY EDIS**

**31 MAR 2007**

Page: 3 of 3

### SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY

	CONUS	OCONUS	Percent of All Services
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	1	2	<b>42.9 %</b>
<b>Asian</b>		5	<b>35.7 %</b>
<b>Black or African American</b>	7	12	<b>22.6 %</b>
<b>Hispanic</b>	6	26	<b>33.3 %</b>
<b>Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander</b>		1	<b>25.0 %</b>
<b>White</b>	41	75	<b>33.0 %</b>
<b>Two or More Races</b>	2	8	<b>35.7 %</b>
<b>Declined to State</b>	2	15	<b>37.0 %</b>
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>144</b>	
<b>Percent:</b>	<b>19.9 %</b>	<b>43.1 %</b>	<b>32.2 %</b>

## Number and Percentage of Sessions by Environments Where EIS Were Provided

SNPMIS  
DoD-12(COM-07)a

01 JUL 2006 - 30 JUN 2007


Page: 1 of 1

### ARMY EDIS

#### TOTAL

Actual Environment	Kept Session	Percentage
CDC	1225	8.4 %
CHILD CARE HOME	106	0.7 %
EDIS	886	6.1 %
HOME	11923	81.6 %
MTF (NON-SNP)	10	0.1 %
NON-MTF AGENCY	69	0.5 %
OTHER	339	2.3 %
SCHOOL	56	0.4 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>14614</b>	

### Environments Where EDIS Provided Early Intervention Services



## Number and Percentage of Sessions by Environments Where EIS Were Provided (CONUS-OCOUS)

SNPMIS  
DoD-12(COM-07)b

Page: 1 of 2


01 JUL 2006 - 30 JUN 2007

### ARMY EDIS

### CONUS

Actual Environment	Kept Session	Percentage
CDC	585	7.8 %
CHILD CARE HOME	62	0.8 %
<b>EDIS</b>	579	7.7 %
HOME	6089	81.5 %
MTF (NON-SNP)	8	0.1 %
NON-MTF AGENCY	61	0.8 %
OTHER	81	1.1 %
SCHOOL	10	0.1 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>7475</b>	

### Environments Where EDIS Provided Early Intervention Services



## Number and Percentage of Sessions by Environments Where EIS Were Provided (CONUS-OCONUS)

SNPMIS  
DoD-12(COM-07)b

Page: 2 of 2


01 JUL 2006 - 30 JUN 2007

### ARMY EDIS

#### OCONUS

Actual Environment	Kept Session	Percentage
CDC	640	9.0 %
CHILD CARE HOME	44	0.6 %
<b>EDIS</b>	307	4.3 %
HOME	5834	81.7 %
MTF (NON-SNP)	2	0.0 %
NON-MTF AGENCY	8	0.1 %
OTHER	258	3.6 %
SCHOOL	46	0.6 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>7139</b>	

### Environments Where EDIS Provided Early Intervention Services



# Number and Percentage of Sessions by Environments Where EIS Were Provided by Race and Ethnicity

SNPMIS  
DoD-12(COM-07)c  
**ARMY EDIS**

**01 JUL 2006 - 30 JUN 2007**


**TOTAL**

	CDC	%	CHILD CARE HOME	%	EDIS	%	HOME	%	MTF (NON-SN P)	%	NON-MTF AGENCY	%	OTHER	%	SCHOOL	%	Sum:
Hispanic	275	13.0%	6	0.3%	80	3.8%	1650	77.8%			30	1.4%	73	3.4%	7	0.3%	2121
American Indian or Alaska Native	58	21.6%	2	0.7%	33	12.3%	170	63.2%					5	1.9%	1	0.4%	269
Asian	39	14.5%			65	24.2%	143	53.2%					22	8.2%			269
Black or African American	237	13.6%	43	2.5%	90	5.1%	1324	75.7%	1	0.1%	21	1.2%	28	1.6%	5	0.3%	1749
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	2	3.4%			3	5.1%	54	91.5%									59
White	425	5.3%	29	0.4%	520	6.5%	6800	85.4%	7	0.1%	8	0.1%	143	1.8%	29	0.4%	7961
Two or More Races	21	3.4%	10	1.6%	5	0.8%	544	87.3%	2	0.3%			31	5.0%	10	1.6%	623
Declined to State	168	10.7%	16	1.0%	90	5.8%	1238	79.2%			10	0.6%	37	2.4%	4	0.3%	1563
	<b>1225</b>		<b>106</b>		<b>886</b>		<b>11923</b>		<b>10</b>		<b>69</b>		<b>339</b>		<b>56</b>		<b>14614</b>
<b>Percent:</b>		<b>8.4%</b>		<b>0.7%</b>		<b>6.1%</b>		<b>81.6%</b>		<b>0.1%</b>		<b>0.5%</b>		<b>2.3%</b>		<b>0.4%</b>	

# Number and Percentage of Sessions by Environments Where EIS Were Provided by Race and Ethnicity

SNPMIS  
DoD-12(COM-07)c  
**ARMY EDIS**

01 JUL 2006 - 30 JUN 2007



\*\*\* FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY \*\*\*  
\*\*\* PRIVACY ACT DATA IAW PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 \*\*\*  
\*\*\* DISPOSE OF THIS PROPERLY \*\*\*

**Number and Percentage of Sessions by Environments Where  
EIS Were Provided by Race and Ethnicity (CONUS-OCONUS)**

SNIPMIS  
DoD-12(COM-07)d  
**ARMY EDIS**

**01 JUL 2006 - 30 JUN 2007**

**CONUS**

	CDC	%	CHILD CARE HOME	%	EDIS	%	HOME	%	MTF (NON-SN P)	%	NON-MT F AGENCY	%	OTHER	%	SCHOOL	%	Sum:
Hispanic	131	13.3%	6	0.6%	56	5.7%	744	75.7%			30	3.1%	16	1.6%			983
American Indian or Alaska Native	39	25.5%	2	1.3%	6	3.9%	104	68.0%					2	1.3%			153
Asian	2	4.7%			12	27.9%	29	67.4%									43
Black or African American	150	15.6%	42	4.4%	76	7.9%	661	68.6%	1	0.1%	20	2.1%	14	1.5%			964
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	2	10.0%			3	15.0%	15	75.0%									20
White	236	5.1%	12	0.3%	374	8.1%	3922	85.1%	6	0.1%	2	0.0%	48	1.0%	10	0.2%	4610
Two or More Races	9	3.4%			2	0.8%	253	95.1%	1	0.4%			1	0.4%			266
Declined to State	16	3.7%			50	11.5%	361	82.8%			9	2.1%					436
	585		62		579		6089		8		61		81		10		7475
<b>Percent:</b>		7.8%		0.8%		7.7%		81.5%		0.1%		0.8%		1.1%		0.1%	

# Number and Percentage of Sessions by Environments Where EIS Were Provided by Race and Ethnicity (CONUS-OCONUS)

SNIPMIS

DoD-12(COM-07)d

**ARMY EDIS**

**01 JUL 2006 - 30 JUN 2007**

Page: 2 of 2

**OCONUS**

	CDC	%	CHILD CARE HOME	%	EDIS	%	HOME	%	MTF (NON-SN P)	%	NON-MT F AGENCY	%	OTHER	%	SCHOOL	%	Sum:
Hispanic	144	12.7%			24	2.1%	906	79.6%					57	5.0%	7	0.6%	1138
American Indian or Alaska Native	19	16.4%			27	23.3%	66	56.9%					3	2.6%	1	0.9%	116
Asian	37	16.4%			53	23.5%	114	50.4%					22	9.7%			226
Black or African American	87	11.1%	1	0.1%	14	1.8%	663	84.5%			1	0.1%	14	1.8%	5	0.6%	785
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander							39	100.0%									39
White	189	5.6%	17	0.5%	146	4.4%	2878	85.9%	1	0.0%	6	0.2%	95	2.8%	19	0.6%	3351
Two or More Races	12	3.4%	10	2.8%	3	0.8%	291	81.5%	1	0.3%			30	8.4%	10	2.8%	357
Declined to State	152	13.5%	16	1.4%	40	3.5%	877	77.8%			1	0.1%	37	3.3%	4	0.4%	1127
	640		44		307		5834		2		8		258		46		7139
<b>Percent:</b>		9.0%		0.6%		4.3%		81.7%		0.0%		0.1%		3.6%		0.6%	

## Length of Time that Children Received EIS from EDIS

SNPMIS  
DoD-13(COM-07)a

**Discharges Made During Period: 01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007**

Page: 1 of 1

### ARMY EDIS

### TOTAL EDIS

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program	
665	Average Months:	19.5	Average Months:	10.6	
	Median	20.7	Median	9.4	
	SD	9.7	SD	6.7	
	Minimum:	0.1	Minimum:	0.7	
	Maximum:	37.9	Maximum:	37.7	
			Number under 3 mths.	46	6.9 %
			Number under 6 mths.	181	27.2 %
			Number under 12 mths.	447	67.2 %
			Number over 1 yr:	218	32.8 %
			Number over 1.5 yrs:	91	13.7 %
			Number over 2 yrs:	28	4.2 %
			Number between 1 yr and 18 mths.	127	19.1 %

Note: The "Number over 1 yr" includes "Number over 1.5 yrs" and "Number over 2 yrs."

\*\*\* FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY \*\*\*  
\*\*\* PRIVACY ACT DATA IAW PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 \*\*\*  
\*\*\* DISPOSE OF THIS PROPERLY \*\*\*

## Length of Time that Children Received EIS from EDIS (CONUS-OCONUS)

SNPMIS  
DoD-13(COM-07)b  
**ARMY EDIS**

Discharges Made During Period: 01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007

Page: 1 of 1

### CONUS

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
283	Average Months:	18.7	Average Months:	11.2
	Median	20.2	Median	9.9
	SD	9.9	SD	7.0
	Minimum:	0.1	Minimum:	1.4
	Maximum:	34.9	Maximum:	36.2
			Number under 3 mths.	13 4.6 %
			Number under 6 mths.	68 24.0 %
			Number under 12 mths.	181 64.0 %
			Number over 1 yr:	102 36.0 %
			Number over 1.5 yrs:	46 16.3 %
			Number over 2 yrs:	15 5.3 %
			Number between 1 yr and 18 mths.	56 19.8 %

### OCONUS

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
382	Average Months:	20.1	Average Months:	10.1
	Median	21.4	Median	8.8
	SD	9.6	SD	6.4
	Minimum:	0.3	Minimum:	0.7
	Maximum:	37.9	Maximum:	37.7
			Number under 3 mths.	33 8.6 %
			Number under 6 mths.	113 29.6 %
			Number under 12 mths.	266 69.6 %
			Number over 1 yr:	116 30.4 %
			Number over 1.5 yrs:	45 11.8 %
			Number over 2 yrs:	13 3.4 %
			Number between 1 yr and 18 mths.	71 18.6 %

Note: The "Number over 1 yr" includes "Number over 1.5 yrs" and "Number over 2 yrs."

\*\*\* FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY \*\*\*  
\*\*\* PRIVACY ACT DATA IAW PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 \*\*\*  
\*\*\* DISPOSE OF THIS PROPERLY \*\*\*

C - 40

## Length of Time that Children Received EIS from EDIS by Race and Ethnicity

SNPMIS  
DoD-13(COM-07)c  
**ARMY EDIS**

Discharges Made During Period: 01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007

Page: 1 of 2

### Total EDIS

#### Hispanic

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
125	Average Months:	20.9	Average Months:	10.2
	Median	22.2	Median	9.4
	SD	8.7	SD	6.4

#### Asian

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
13	Average Months:	18.7	Average Months:	10.9
	Median	19.0	Median	9.1
	SD	10.5	SD	6.2

#### Black or African American

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
89	Average Months:	16.5	Average Months:	10.8
	Median	17.7	Median	9.7
	SD	10.4	SD	7.9

#### Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
2	Average Months:	12.0	Average Months:	16.5
	Median	12.0	Median	16.5
	SD	8.8	SD	21.9

#### White

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
354	Average Months:	19.0	Average Months:	9.9
	Median	20.1	Median	8.9
	SD	9.7	SD	6.5

Note: The "Number over 1 yr" includes "Number over 1.5 yrs" and "Number over 2 yrs."

\*\*\* FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY \*\*\*  
\*\*\* PRIVACY ACT DATA IAW PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 \*\*\*  
\*\*\* DISPOSE OF THIS PROPERLY \*\*\*

C - 41

## Length of Time that Children Received EIS from EDIS by Race and Ethnicity

SNPMIS  
DoD-13(COM-07)c  
**ARMY EDIS**

**Discharges Made During Period: 01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007**

Page: 2 of 2

### Two or More Races

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
35	<b>Average Months:</b>	20.3	<b>Average Months:</b>	10.2
	<b>Median</b>	21.0	<b>Median</b>	8.8
	<b>SD</b>	8.5	<b>SD</b>	6.3

### Declined to State

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
142	<b>Average Months:</b>	18.8	<b>Average Months:</b>	10.0
	<b>Median</b>	20.0	<b>Median</b>	7.2
	<b>SD</b>	10.3	<b>SD</b>	7.4

Note: The "Number over 1 yr" includes "Number over 1.5 yrs" and "Number over 2 yrs."

## Length of Time that Children Received EIS from EDIS by Race and Ethnicity

SNPMIS  
DoD-13(COM-07)d  
**ARMY EDIS**

Discharges Made During Period: 01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007

Page: 1 of 4

### CONUS

#### Hispanic

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
61	Average Months:	19.8	Average Months:	11.1
	Median	20.0	Median	10.0
	SD	9.0	SD	6.5

#### Asian

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
4	Average Months:	15.6	Average Months:	15.5
	Median	15.5	Median	15.5
	SD	8.7	SD	5.7

#### Black or African American

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
46	Average Months:	17.6	Average Months:	10.8
	Median	17.1	Median	8.2
	SD	10.5	SD	8.5

#### Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
1	Average Months:	5.8	Average Months:	32.0
	Median	5.8	Median	32.0
	SD		SD	

#### White

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
182	Average Months:	17.9	Average Months:	10.3
	Median	19.4	Median	9.0
	SD	10.2	SD	6.7

Note: The "Number over 1 yr" includes "Number over 1.5 yrs" and "Number over 2 yrs."

\*\*\* FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY \*\*\*  
\*\*\* PRIVACY ACT DATA IAW PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 \*\*\*  
\*\*\* DISPOSE OF THIS PROPERLY \*\*\*

C - 43

## Length of Time that Children Received EIS from EDIS by Race and Ethnicity

SNPMIS  
DoD-13(COM-07)d  
**ARMY EDIS**

**Discharges Made During Period: 01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007**

Page: 2 of 4

### Two or More Races

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
12	<b>Average Months:</b>	21.3	<b>Average Months:</b>	9.1
	<b>Median</b>	23.3	<b>Median</b>	6.3
	<b>SD</b>	7.2	<b>SD</b>	7.2

### Declined to State

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
23	<b>Average Months:</b>	19.4	<b>Average Months:</b>	7.8
	<b>Median</b>	20.1	<b>Median</b>	6.5
	<b>SD</b>	8.7	<b>SD</b>	6.1

Note: The "Number over 1 yr" includes "Number over 1.5 yrs" and "Number over 2 yrs."

## Length of Time that Children Received EIS from EDIS by Race and Ethnicity

SNPMIS  
DoD-13(COM-07)d  
**ARMY EDIS**

**Discharges Made During Period: 01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007**

Page: 3 of 4

### OCONUS

#### Hispanic

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
64	Average Months:	21.9	Average Months:	9.4
	Median	22.6	Median	8.6
	SD	8.3	SD	6.2

#### Asian

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
9	Average Months:	20.0	Average Months:	8.8
	Median	23.2	Median	7.1
	SD	11.4	SD	5.5

#### Black or African American

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
43	Average Months:	15.4	Average Months:	10.8
	Median	17.7	Median	10.1
	SD	10.3	SD	7.4

#### Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
1	Average Months:	18.3	Average Months:	1.0
	Median	18.3	Median	1.0
	SD		SD	

#### White

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
172	Average Months:	20.2	Average Months:	9.5
	Median	20.7	Median	8.6
	SD	9.1	SD	6.2

Note: The "Number over 1 yr" includes "Number over 1.5 yrs" and "Number over 2 yrs."

\*\*\* FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY \*\*\*  
\*\*\* PRIVACY ACT DATA IAW PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 \*\*\*  
\*\*\* DISPOSE OF THIS PROPERLY \*\*\*

C - 45

## Length of Time that Children Received EIS from EDIS by Race and Ethnicity

SNPMIS  
DoD-13(COM-07)d  
**ARMY EDIS**

**Discharges Made During Period: 01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007**

Page: 4 of 4

### Two or More Races

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
23	<b>Average Months:</b>	19.7	<b>Average Months:</b>	<b>10.8</b>
	<b>Median</b>	20.8	<b>Median</b>	9.3
	<b>SD</b>	9.2	<b>SD</b>	5.8

### Declined to State

Discharges		Referral Age		Time in Program
119	<b>Average Months:</b>	18.7	<b>Average Months:</b>	<b>10.5</b>
	<b>Median</b>	19.4	<b>Median</b>	8.2
	<b>SD</b>	10.6	<b>SD</b>	7.6

Note: The "Number over 1 yr" includes "Number over 1.5 yrs" and "Number over 2 yrs."

# Discharge Reasons for Children Discharged from EDIS 01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007

SNPMIS  
DoD-14(COM-07)a


## ARMY EDIS

### TOTAL

All Discharge Reasons	Count
CHILD NOT ELIGIBLE FOR IDEA SERVICES	71
DECEASED	2
EARLY RETURN FOR MEDICAL REASONS	4
FAMILY DOES NOT DESIRE SERVICES	44
FAMILY REQUESTED DELAY	11
MOVED FROM CATCHMENT AREA	233
PASSED REFERRAL SCREEN, NO CONCERNS	16
SERVICES ADMIN WITHDRAWN - ERROR CORR	3
SERVICES ADMIN WITHDRAWN - FAMILY UNAV.	19
SERVICES NO LONGER REQUIRED	56
SPACE-A SERVICES NO LONGER REQUIRED	1
SPONSOR NO LONGER ELIGIBLE	5
TRANSITIONED TO OTHER SETTING	59
TRANSITION TO DoDEA SPEC. EDUC.	241
<b>Total:</b>	<b>765</b>

Adjusted Discharge Reasons	Count	%
Child Transitioned to New Setting	300	45.1 %
Family Moved From Catchment Area	233	35.0 %
IDEA Services No longer Required	127	19.1 %
Sponsor No longer Eligible	5	0.8 %
<b>Total:</b>	<b>665</b>	

### Adjusted Discharge Reasons



<span style="color: blue;">■</span> Child Transitioned to New Setting	<span style="color: orange;">■</span> Family Moved From Catchment Area	<span style="color: teal;">■</span> IDEA Services No longer Required
<span style="color: gray;">■</span> Sponsor No longer Eligible		

## Discharge Reasons for Children Discharged from EDIS 01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007

SNPMIS  
DoD-14(COM-07)b

Page: 1 of 2


### ARMY EDIS

### CONUS

All Discharge Reasons	Count
CHILD NOT ELIGIBLE FOR IDEA SERVICES	34
FAMILY DOES NOT DESIRE SERVICES	19
FAMILY REQUESTED DELAY	8
MOVED FROM CATCHMENT AREA	93
PASSED REFERRAL SCREEN, NO CONCERNS	6
SERVICES ADMIN WITHDRAWN - ERROR CORR	1
SERVICES ADMIN WITHDRAWN - FAMILY UNAV.	12
SERVICES NO LONGER REQUIRED	28
SPONSOR NO LONGER ELIGIBLE	3
TRANSITIONED TO OTHER SETTING	28
TRANSITION TO DoDEA SPEC. EDUC.	97
<b>Total:</b>	<b>329</b>

Adjusted Discharge Reasons	Count	%
Child Transitioned to New Setting	125	44.2 %
Family Moved From Catchment Area	93	32.9 %
IDEA Services No longer Required	62	21.9 %
Sponsor No longer Eligible	3	1.1 %
<b>Total:</b>	<b>283</b>	

### Adjusted Discharge Reasons



<span style="color: blue;">■</span> Child Transitioned to New Setting	<span style="color: orange;">■</span> Family Moved From Catchment Area	<span style="color: teal;">■</span> IDEA Services No longer Required
<span style="color: grey;">■</span> Sponsor No longer Eligible		

## Discharge Reasons for Children Discharged from EDIS 01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007

SNPMIS  
DoD-14(COM-07)b

Page: 2 of 2


### ARMY EDIS

### OCONUS

All Discharge Reasons	Count
CHILD NOT ELIGIBLE FOR IDEA SERVICES	37
DECEASED	2
EARLY RETURN FOR MEDICAL REASONS	4
FAMILY DOES NOT DESIRE SERVICES	25
FAMILY REQUESTED DELAY	3
MOVED FROM CATCHMENT AREA	140
PASSED REFERRAL SCREEN, NO CONCERNS	10
SERVICES ADMIN WITHDRAWN - ERROR CORR	2
SERVICES ADMIN WITHDRAWN - FAMILY UNAV.	7
SERVICES NO LONGER REQUIRED	28
SPACE-A SERVICES NO LONGER REQUIRED	1
SPONSOR NO LONGER ELIGIBLE	2
TRANSITIONED TO OTHER SETTING	31
TRANSITION TO DoDEA SPEC. EDUC.	144
<b>Total:</b>	<b>436</b>

Adjusted Discharge Reasons	Count	%
Child Transitioned to New Setting	175	45.8 %
Family Moved From Catchment Area	140	36.6 %
IDEA Services No longer Required	65	17.0 %
Sponsor No longer Eligible	2	0.5 %
<b>Total:</b>	<b>382</b>	

### Adjusted Discharge Reasons



<span style="color: blue;">■</span> Child Transitioned to New Setting	<span style="color: orange;">■</span> Family Moved From Catchment Area	<span style="color: teal;">■</span> IDEA Services No longer Required
<span style="color: grey;">■</span> Sponsor No longer Eligible		

**Discharge Reasons for Children Discharged  
from EDIS by Race and Ethnicity  
01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007**

SNPMIS  
DoD-14(COM-07)c

**ARMY EDIS**

**TOTAL**

	Child Transitioned to New Setting	%	Family Moved From Catchment Area	%	IDEA Services No longer Required	%	Sponsor No longer Eligible	%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	100.0 %						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	40.0 %	1	20.0 %	2	40.0 %		
Asian	7	53.8 %	5	38.5 %	1	7.7 %		
Two or More Races	13	40.6 %	13	40.6 %	5	15.6 %	1	3.1 %
Black or African American	33	44.6 %	29	39.2 %	11	14.9 %	1	1.4 %
Hispanic	60	54.5 %	32	29.1 %	18	16.4 %		
Declined to State	58	49.2 %	38	32.2 %	21	17.8 %	1	0.8 %
White	126	40.4 %	115	36.9 %	69	22.1 %	2	0.6 %
	<b>300</b>		<b>233</b>		<b>127</b>		<b>5</b>	
<b>Percent:</b>		<b>45.1 %</b>		<b>35.0 %</b>		<b>19.1 %</b>		<b>0.8 %</b>

## Discharge Reasons for Children Discharged from EDIS by Race and Ethnicity (CONUS-OCONUS)

SNPMIS

Page: 1 of 2

DoD-14(COM-07)d

01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007

**ARMY EDIS**

**CONUS**

	Child Transitioned to New Setting	%	Family Moved From Catchment Area	%	IDEA Services No longer Required	%	Sponsor No longer Eligible	%
<b>Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander</b>	1	100.0 %						
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	2	66.7 %	1	33.3 %				
<b>Asian</b>	1	25.0 %	3	75.0 %				
<b>Two or More Races</b>	3	27.3 %	6	54.5 %	1	9.1 %	1	9.1 %
<b>Declined to State</b>	7	41.2 %	6	35.3 %	4	23.5 %		
<b>Black or African American</b>	19	48.7 %	10	25.6 %	9	23.1 %	1	2.6 %
<b>Hispanic</b>	28	51.9 %	16	29.6 %	10	18.5 %		
<b>White</b>	64	41.6 %	51	33.1 %	38	24.7 %	1	0.6 %
	<b>125</b>		<b>93</b>		<b>62</b>		<b>3</b>	
<b>Percent:</b>		<b>44.2 %</b>		<b>32.9 %</b>		<b>21.9 %</b>		<b>1.1 %</b>

\*\*\* FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY \*\*\*

\*\*\* PRIVACY ACT DATA IAW PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 \*\*\*

\*\*\* DISPOSE OF THIS PROPERLY \*\*\*

C - 51

## Discharge Reasons for Children Discharged from EDIS by Race and Ethnicity (CONUS-OCONUS)

SNPMIS

Page: 2 of 2

DoD-14(COM-07)d

01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007

**ARMY EDIS**

**OCONUS**

	Child Transitioned to New Setting	%	Family Moved From Catchment Area	%	IDEA Services No longer Required	%	Sponsor No longer Eligible	%
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>					2	100.0 %		
<b>Asian</b>	6	66.7 %	2	22.2 %	1	11.1 %		
<b>Two or More Races</b>	10	47.6 %	7	33.3 %	4	19.0 %		
<b>Black or African American</b>	14	40.0 %	19	54.3 %	2	5.7 %		
<b>Hispanic</b>	32	57.1 %	16	28.6 %	8	14.3 %		
<b>Declined to State</b>	51	50.5 %	32	31.7 %	17	16.8 %	1	1.0 %
<b>White</b>	62	39.2 %	64	40.5 %	31	19.6 %	1	0.6 %
	<b>175</b>		<b>140</b>		<b>65</b>		<b>2</b>	
<b>Percent:</b>		<b>45.8 %</b>		<b>36.6 %</b>		<b>17.0 %</b>		<b>0.5 %</b>

\*\*\* FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY \*\*\*

\*\*\* PRIVACY ACT DATA IAW PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 \*\*\*

\*\*\* DISPOSE OF THIS PROPERLY \*\*\*

C - 52

## Number of Children Who Are Receiving Related Services from EDIS by DoDEA Enrollment Category

SNPMIS  
DoD-15(COM-06)a

**31 MAR 2007**

Page: 1 of 1

### ARMY EDIS

	OCONUS	% of Total Students Served
AIR FORCE - TUIT FREE SPACE A	1	0.2 %
AIR FORCE - TUIT FREE SPACE RE	86	17.8 %
ARMY - TUIT FREE SPACE A	1	0.2 %
ARMY - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ	313	64.8 %
DOD CIV - TUIT FREE SPACE A	8	1.7 %
DOD CIV - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ	50	10.4 %
FMS - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ	2	0.4 %
FOR SVC - TUIT PAY SPACE A	1	0.2 %
MARINES - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ	5	1.0 %
NAFI - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ	5	1.0 %
NAVY - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ	6	1.2 %
NON DOD CIV-FT US GOVT EMP(PR)	2	0.4 %
OTHER US - TUIT PAY SPACE A	1	0.2 %
US INTEREST - TUIT PAY SPACE A	2	0.4 %
	483	



## Number of Children who are Receiving Related Services from EDIS by DoDEA Eligibility Criteria

SNPMIS  
DoD-16(COM-07)a

**31 MAR 2007**

Page: 1 of 1

### ARMY EDIS

	OCONUS	% of Total Students Served
A-AU AUTISTIC	88	18.2 %
A-BL BLIND	1	0.2 %
A-HI HEARING IMPAIRED	2	0.4 %
A-OH OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRED	49	10.1 %
A-OR ORTHOPEDICALLY IMPAIRED	8	1.7 %
A-PD PERVASIVE DEVELOPMENTAL DISORDER	21	4.3 %
A-VI VISUALLY IMPAIRED - PARTIALLY SIGHTED	1	0.2 %
B-EI EMOTIONALLY IMPAIRED	27	5.6 %
C-AR COMMUNICATION IMPAIRED - ARTICULATION	11	2.3 %
C-LA COMMUNICATION IMPAIRED - LANGUAGE/PHONOLOGY	26	5.4 %
D-IN LEARNING IMPAIRED - INTELLECTUAL DEFICIT	18	3.7 %
D-PR LEARNING IMPAIRED - INFORMATION PROCESSING DEFICIT	53	11.0 %
E-DD DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY	177	36.6 %
I-II INTERIM INCOMING IEP (NOT FOR EVALUATION)	1	0.2 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>483</b>	



# Number of Children who are Receiving Related Services from EDIS by DoDEA Enrollment Category and DoDEA Eligibility Criteria

SNPMIS

DoD-17(COM-07)a

**ARMY EDIS**

Page: 1 of 1

**31 MAR 2007**

	A-AU	A-BL	A-HI	A-OH	A-OR	A-PD	A-VI	B-EI	C-AR	C-LA	D-IN	D-PR	E-DD	I-II	Total	% Served
AIR FORCE - TUIT FREE SPACE A													1		1	0.2 %
AIR FORCE - TUIT FREE SPACE RE	24		1	7	1	4		3	1	1	3	8	33		86	17.8 %
ARMY - TUIT FREE SPACE A						1									1	0.2 %
ARMY - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ	54	1	1	27	4	9	1	13	10	20	12	35	126	1	314	64.9 %
DOD CIV - TUIT FREE SPACE A				4		2		1					1		8	1.7 %
DOD CIV - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ	6			9	3	5		7		3	3	6	8		50	10.3 %
FMS - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ	1												1		2	0.4 %
FOR SVC - TUIT PAY SPACE A								1							1	0.2 %
MARINES - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ								1		1			3		5	1.0 %
NAFI - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ	1							1		1		2			5	1.0 %
NAVY - TUIT FREE SPACE REQ				2								1	3		6	1.2 %
NON DOD CIV-FT US GOVT EMP(PR)	1											1			2	0.4 %
OTHER US - TUIT PAY SPACE A	1														1	0.2 %
US INTEREST - TUIT PAY SPACE A													2		2	0.4 %
<b>Percent:</b>	88	1	2	49	8	21	1	27	11	26	18	53	178	1	484	
	18.2 %	0.2 %	0.4 %	10.1 %	1.7 %	4.3 %	0.2 %	5.6 %	2.3 %	5.4 %	3.7 %	11.0 %	36.8 %	0.2 %		

A-AU AUTISTIC; A-BI TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY; A-BL BLIND; A-HI HEARING IMPAIRED; A-OH OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRED; A-OR ORTHOPEDICALLY IMPAIRED; A-PD PERSIVE DEVELOPMENTAL DISORDER; B-EI EMOTIONALLY IMPAIRED; C-AR COMMUNICATION IMPAIRED - ARTICULATION; C-LA COMMUNICATION IMPAIRED - LANGUAGE/PHONOLOGY; C-DY COMMUNICATION IMPAIRED - DYSFLUENCY; D-IN LEARNING IMPAIRED - INTELLECTUAL DEFICIT; D-PR LEARNING IMPAIRED - INFORMATION PROCESSING DEFICIT; E-DD DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY; I-II INTERIM INCOMING IEP (NOT FOR EVALUATION); A-DE DEAF; A-VI VISUALLY IMPAIRED - PARTIALLY SIGHTED



**Requests from DoDDS for Evaluations and Services**

SNPMIS

DoD-18(COM-07)a

**ARMY EDIS**

**01 JUL 2006 - 30 JUN 2007**

Page: 1 of 1

<b>Evaluation Requests</b>	<b>Service Requests</b>
<b>561</b>	<b>698</b>



**Percentage of EDIS Evaluation  
Requests Completed on Time  
01 JUL 2006 -- 30 JUN 2007**

**ARMY EDIS**

Evaluations	Completed by Due Date	% Completed on Time
495	426	86.1 %

Based on Evaluation  
Requests made during the  
time period.

\*\*\* FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY \*\*\*  
\*\*\* PRIVACY ACT DATA IAW PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 \*\*\*  
\*\*\* DISPOSE OF THIS PROPERLY \*\*\*



# Services Provided to Children on IEPs



SNPMIS  
DoD-20(COM-07)a

01 JUL 2006 - 30 JUN 2007

Page: 1 of 1

## ARMY EDIS

SERVICE	Count	Percentage
FAMILY TRAINING, COUNSELING	5	0.6 %
NUTRITION	1	0.1 %
OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY	628	70.9 %
PHYSICAL THERAPY	146	16.5 %
PSYCHOLOGY	59	6.7 %
SOCIAL WORK	47	5.3 %
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>886</b>	





## Services Provided to Students with IEPs by Location

SNPMIS

Page: 1 of 2

DoD-21(COM-07)a

**01 JUL 2006 - 30 JUN 2007**

**ARMY EDIS**

### **FAMILY TRAINING, COUNSELING**

Location	Children Count	Percentage
THERAPY ROOM	3	100.0 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>3</b>	

### **NUTRITION**

Location	Children Count	Percentage
THERAPY ROOM	1	100.0 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>1</b>	

### **OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY**

Location	Children Count	Percentage
COMMUNITY	8	1.2 %
GEN ED CLASS	175	25.5 %
HOME	1	0.1 %
PRESCHOOL CLASS	126	18.4 %
RESOURCE ROOM	106	15.5 %
SELF-CONTAIN CLASS	35	5.1 %
THERAPY ROOM	234	34.2 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>685</b>	

### **PHYSICAL THERAPY**

Location	Children Count	Percentage
COMMUNITY	1	0.6 %
GEN ED CLASS	34	21.1 %
HOME	1	0.6 %
PRESCHOOL CLASS	32	19.9 %
RESOURCE ROOM	10	6.2 %
SELF-CONTAIN CLASS	16	9.9 %
THERAPY ROOM	67	41.6 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>161</b>	

### **PSYCHOLOGY**

Location	Children Count	Percentage
COMMUNITY	2	4.4 %
GEN ED CLASS	6	13.3 %
HOME	1	2.2 %
RESOURCE ROOM	7	15.6 %
THERAPY ROOM	29	64.4 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>45</b>	

\*\*\* FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY \*\*\*

Does not include consultation
----------------------------------

\*\*\* PRIVACY ACT DATA IAW PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 \*\*\*  
\*\*\* DISPOSE OF THIS PROPERLY \*\*\*

C - 65

# Services Provided to Students with IEPs by Location

SNPMIS

DoD-21(COM-07)a

**ARMY EDIS**

**01 JUL 2006 - 30 JUN 2007**

Page: 2 of 2

## **SOCIAL WORK**

<b>Location</b>	<b>Children Count</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
COMMUNITY	1	2.1 %
RESOURCE ROOM	2	4.3 %
THERAPY ROOM	44	93.6 %
<b>Sum:</b>	<b>47</b>	

Does not include  
consultation

\*\*\* FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY \*\*\*  
\*\*\* PRIVACY ACT DATA IAW PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 \*\*\*  
\*\*\* DISPOSE OF THIS PROPERLY \*\*\*

C - 66